Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/816,954	SHAHOIAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander S. Beck	2629
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Alexander S. Beck</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Suvashis Bhattacharya</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 April 2008	Time: <u>4:00pm EST</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 46-50, 52-55 and 85-93		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment, as detailed in the attached Office action, in order to place the application into condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Alexander S. Beck/		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)